

BEFORE
THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF
SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2005-387-E

SEPTEMBER 28, 2006

IN RE: Petition of the Office of Regulatory) DIRECT TESTIMONY OF OLLIE
 Staff to Establish Dockets to) FRAZIER II, ON BEHALF OF DUKE
 Consider Implementing the) POWER COMPANY LLC D/B/A DUKE
 Requirements of Section 1254) ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC.
 (Interconnection) of the Energy)
 Policy Act of 2005)

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR FULL NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND POSITION**
2 **OF EMPLOYMENT.**

3 **A.** My name is Ollie Frazier II. My business address is 526 South Church Street, Charlotte,
4 North Carolina 28201-1006. I am employed with Duke Power Company LLC d/b/a Duke
5 Energy Carolinas, LLC (“Duke Energy Carolinas”) as Director of Business and
6 Regulatory Strategic Planning.

7 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND**
8 **EXPERIENCE.**

9 **A.** I hold a B.S. Degree in Electrical Engineering from North Carolina State University and a
10 M.B.A. Degree from Wingate University. I have over thirty-three years experience with
11 Duke Energy Carolinas. I have held a variety of leadership and management roles in
12 rates, information architecture, marketing, Federal policy, demand side management
13 (DSM), and currently I am Director of Business and Regulatory Strategic Planning. I am
14 a licensed Professional Engineer in both South Carolina and North Carolina.

1 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR EXPERIENCE DIRECTLY RELATED TO THE SUBJECT**
2 **MATTER OF THIS DOCKET?**

3 A. As a member of the Energy Policy Group at Duke Energy Carolinas, I followed the
4 development of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (“EPAAct”) and have been actively
5 involved in Duke Energy Carolinas’ implementation of the EPAAct requirements. Also,
6 Mr. Williams and I, co-chaired the development of the Small Generation Interconnection
7 Standards Model in North Carolina, which is the same as being proposed here in this
8 Docket.

9 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?**

10 A. The purpose of my testimony is to support the Model Interconnection Standard that was
11 jointly filed with the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (“Commission”), on
12 June 5, 2006, in this Docket by Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress Energy
13 Carolinas, Inc. (“PEC”); Duke Power Company LLC d/b/a Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
14 (“Duke Energy Carolinas”); and South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (“SCE&G”),
15 which I shall refer to collectively as “the Utilities”; and by the South Carolina Office of
16 Regulatory Staff (“ORS”) and to support the presentation of that joint filing by B.
17 Mitchell Williams, of PEC.

18 **Q. HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE TESTIMONY OF B. MITCHELL WILLIAMS, OF**
19 **PEC, THAT WAS PREFILED IN THIS DOCKET?**

20 A. Yes.

21 **Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH AND SUPPORT B. MITCHELL WILLIAMS’, OF PEC,**
22 **TESTIMONY?**

23 A. Yes, I do.

1 **Q. TO SUMMARIZE THEN, WHAT IS DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS' POSITION**
2 **IN THIS MATTER?**

3 A. We ask that the Commission adopt the Model Interconnection Standard as jointly filed by
4 the utilities and ORS. The Model Interconnection standard is essentially identical to the
5 one developed by a collaborative process in North Carolina and approved by the North
6 Carolina Utilities Commission. Duke Energy Carolinas believes that its proposal meets
7 the needs of our South Carolina customers and addresses the Energy Policy Act
8 requirements.

9 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

10 A. Yes.